

The Latest Insight

China Clarifies Tax Treatment for Royalties

[05th March, 2010 Issue 2]

On 14th September 2009, the State Administration of Taxation (SAT) issued a tax circular Guoshuihan [2009] No.507 Notice on Certain Issues Concerning the Implementation of Articles on Royalties in Tax Treaties (Circular 507), which clarifies a few issues in Double Tax Treaties (DTT) between China and other jurisdictions in respect of the definition and scope of royalty payments and the related tax treatments. Circular 507 shall come into effect from 1st October 2009.

Highlights of Circular 507

- In cases subject to a DTT, royalties are defined as including charges for the use of industrial, commercial or scientific equipment (i.e. rental income as is defined in the PRC tax regulations), the corresponding withholding tax (WHT) rate shall apply to the payment of such charges. The articles on royalties do not cover charges for the use of immovable properties.
- The phrase "information concerning industrial, commercial or scientific experience" used in the article on royalties shall refer to proprietary technologies.
- The key attributes of proprietary technologies are clarified as:
 - The licensor authorizes the licensee to use undisclosed technologies, and the licensor is not involved in the implementation process and does not guarantee the result;
 - The technologies are existing, or specifically developed as requested by the licensee restricted by confidentiality provisions.
- To distinguish royalty payments from service fees, the following factors should be considered:
 - If the technology used by the service provider during the course of provision of services is not transferred or licensed to the service recipient, the income arising from the provision of such services shall not be treated as royalties.
 - If certain intellectual property is developed as a result of the provision of services, which falls in the definition of royalty under the applicable DTT with the ownership being kept by the service provider, furthermore, the service recipient is only granted a right to use such intellectual property, the income arising from the provision of such services shall be treated as royalties.
- In the case where support services are provided during the course of transfer or licensing of technologies, the tax treatment should be:
 - If the support services do not constitute a permanent establishment (PE), the service fees shall be treated as part of the royalty, no matter whether the service fees are

Upcoming LB Events

China Taxation For Expatriates

11th Mar 2010 Chengdu

LehmanBrown to Sponsor St. Paddy's Irish Ball 2010

13th Mar 2010 Beijing

LehmanBrown to host MBA Students from the University of Hong Kong

15th Mar 2010 Shanghai



LehmanBrown sponsors LSE Asia Forum 2010

26th Mar 2010 Beijing

St. George's Day Celebrations

24th April 2010 Beijing

LehmanBrown at the IPBA Conference

3rd May 2010 Singapore

LehmanBrown to welcome Lipscomb University during their 2010 China tour

19th May 2010

Capital Club, Beijing

billed separately or not.

- If support services constitute a PE, the service fees arising from the PE and the royalty shall be separately assessed. The article on business profits of DTT shall be applied to the service income part, and if the profit attributable to the PE in respect of the service portion cannot be clearly identified, the Chinese tax authorities can determine it according to the principle set forth under the DTT.

- The following four types of income must be regarded as service incomes instead of royalties.
 - Payment for after-sale services for pure trading activities;
 - Payment for services provided by sellers to buyers under a product warranty;
 - Payment for provision of professional services, such as engineering, management, and consulting services; and
 - Other similar payments as stipulated by SAT.
- The royalty article in a DTT shall apply only to resident beneficial owners of the contracting party, in particular:
 - If China-sourced royalties are paid to a PE in Country A of a tax resident of Country B, the tax treaty between China and Country B applies.
 - The PE of a China tax resident entity residing in Country A does not qualify as a resident company of Country A, so it should not benefit from the DTT between China and Country A.
 - If China-sourced royalties are borne and paid by a Chinese PE of a tax resident of Country A to a tax resident in Country B, the DTT between China and Country B applies.

LehmanBrown Observations

On 20th February 2009, the State Administration of Taxation issued Guoshuihan [2009] No.81, which provides guidance relating to the implementation of the dividends article of DTT, and on 24th August 2009, SAT issued Guoshuifa [2009] No.124, which provides further guidance for non-residents claiming DTT benefits.

Now Circular 507, as the latest regulation in a series of rules aimed at strengthening the administration of non-resident enterprises claiming DTT benefits, provides clearer guidance on the applicability of articles on royalty in a DTT, which would be helpful for non-tax residents to more accurately assess the tax implications when deriving royalties from or providing related services to China.

We understand that some MNCs take royalty payments as an effective way for repatriating profits or cash from China in light that royalty is only subject to WHT and the applicable DTTs may also provide a beneficial tax rate. These MNCs, particularly the ones, which provide services associated with the transfer and/or licensing of technologies, are advised to immediately review their royalty transactions, and to accordingly prepare any documentation to support their claims for the DTT benefits in connection with royalty payments. MNCs should consider engaging professionals for assistance in provision of advisories, documentation preparation and dispute resolution on this issue.

Recent e-Newsletters

- China Clarifies Issues on Foreign Tax Credit
- Tax incentives on purchases of Research & Development (R&D) equipment
- Statutory audit of financial statements of Foreign Invested Entities in China
- China Targeting Secondment Arrangements
- One-year transitional period for the New Business Tax (BT) Regulation

- State Administration of Taxation updates selected IIT Policies
- Beijing Government: New Rules to Encourage Multinational Corporations to Establish Regional Headquarters in Beijing
- LehmanBrown International Accountants co-organises and sponsors: John Prescott: Off-the-Record
- China Internal Control Standard for Listed Companies: Effective 1st July 2009
- Liberalization of trade in services between Hong Kong and Mainland China under CEPA
- Criteria for foreign MNCs establishing Regional Headquarters in Shanghai
- Further Increase in Export Refund Rates for certain commodities
- Shanghai Incentives to attract Foreign Investments
- The State Administration of Taxation Releases New Circular to Strengthen Tax Collection and Administration
- HOW TO CALCULATE VAT REBATES
- China Increases the Export Value-Added Tax Refund Rates for Textile Products, Certain Electronic Products and Other Commodities
- Measures for Determining Value of Goods and Articles Contravening the PRC Customs Regulations
- Pitfalls, considerations and the latest regulations for Processing Trade in China
- The Objectives and Benefits of an Internal Audit
- New transfer pricing regulations and their impact on foreign businesses in China
- Business Tax - Enlargement of scope of charge
- Shanghai: New provision on the establishment of regional HQs by foreign MNCs

[Go to Top](#)

"Providing an alternative in China"

Insights is LehmanBrown's official client-focused e-newsletter. It offers updates on the latest business news, taxation and accounting regulations in the People's Republic of China. It is designed to provide you with interesting and informative information to assist in your dealings with China or any China-related issues that you may encounter.

Business-related enquiries can be emailed to beijing@lehmanbrown.com.